The liberal media will do everything they can to get Barack Obama reelected as president in 2012. Nothing else matters to them. If the news can help Obama, they will report it. If it hurts him, they will ignore it or mis-report it.

That’s why the liberal media have been downplaying the massive $1.8 trillion federal deficit, the staggering $14 trillion national debt, the 9% unemployment rate, the still-sinking housing market, the $4.25-a-gallon gas, the administration’s flip-flop on civilian trials for terrorists, and the Abu Ghraib-like photos of “kill teams” in Afghanistan.

It’s also why they are giving Obama a pass on his ridiculous war in Libya, a journalistic free ride they never gave George W. Bush in the Iraq war.

At the MRC we’ve documented the liberal media’s cheerleading for Obama and exposed their sycophantic coverage of Libya through our News Analysis Division, Business & Media Institute, NewsBusters blog, our news service CNSNews.com, our grassroots Action Team, daily e-briefs, and press releases, as well as my own nationally syndicated column.

The double standard practiced by the liberal media when it comes to Obama’s Libya vs. Bush’s Iraq is stunning, to say the least.

Prior to the Iraq war, which started in March 2003, the liberal media ran countless anti-war, “no blood for oil,” stories. Do you remember how they cast Cindy Sheehan as a saint, even as she labeled Bush “the biggest terrorist in the world?” The liberal media were such strict constructionists on the Constitution and the president’s authority to wage war.

As they should have been. The Constitution says that “Congress shall have Power ... to declare War.” George Washington and the other Founders understood this to mean that a president could take swift military action in self-defense to repel an attack against America but for offensive actions the president needed the authorization of Congress.

In the run-up to Iraq, the liberal media harped on congressional approval. ABC’s Peter Jennings reported on Aug. 29, 2002, “Could the president, would the president go ahead without congressional approval?” On CBS’s Face The Nation, John Roberts asked Democrat Terry McAuliffe, “House Minority Leader Gephardt said last week that, ‘It’s got to come to a vote, some kind of a vote.’ Do you believe that the president needs to go to Congress?” On ABC’s This Week, Claire Shipman declared, “Obviously, the White House will go to Congress. They would be crazy not to.”

Bush did go to Congress and they passed a resolution on Oct. 11, 2002, authorizing the war against Iraq.
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Nine days before that vote, Barack Obama, then an Illinois state senator, gave a speech denouncing what he called Bush’s “dumb war.” He also said that “Saddam poses no imminent and direct threat to the United States, or to his neighbors.” (A few weeks later, then-Sen. Joe Biden also said he would move to impeach Bush if he attacked Iran without congressional authorization.)

As a presidential candidate in 2007, Obama told the Boston Globe, “The president does not have power under the Constitution to unilaterally authorize a military attack in a situation that does not involve stopping an actual or imminent threat to the nation.” He also said the president could take swift action without Congress’ approval “in instances of self-defense.”

That, in fact, is what the Constitution states. Yet prior to the launching of Tomahawk missiles into Libya on Mar. 19, President Obama did not seek Congress’ authorization. He and 10 other countries at the United Nations agreed to establish a no-fly zone in Libya and Obama gave the order to attack.

Libya poses no imminent threat to the United States. Defense Secretary Robert Gates said so eight days later on ABC’s This Week, stressing that Libya “was not” a threat and “not a vital interest to the United States.” In fact, back on Mar. 2, Gates had denounced a no-fly zone in Libya.

Despite all this, the liberal media did not question whether Obama could legally start a war against Libya without Congress’ approval. In the four days surrounding the initial U.S. attacks (Mar. 18-21), the evening news at ABC, NBC, and CBS did not raise the issue once. And they have not talked about it much, only marginally noting the Constitutional objections made by Rep. Dennis Kucinich (D-Ohio) and Sen. Rand Paul (R-Ky.)

Then there’s the Washington Post’s Thomas Ricks. Five years ago he wrote a book entitled Fiasco that trashed Bush’s war against Saddam and complained ad nauseam about the apparent lack of executive branch oversight.

Yet with Obama’s Libya war, Ricks told NBC’s Meet the Press on Mar. 27 that yes, we could declare mission accomplished. “All Obama is saying is, ‘Give war a chance,’” harped Ricks. As for radical Islamists among the Libyan rebels, Ricks laughably claimed, “I don’t think that all Islamic extremists are necessarily our enemy. ... [S]ome of these Islamic extremists are cheering for the United States.” He was serious.

That exemplifies the absurd state of liberal journalism today. They will say (and not say) whatever it takes to cover for Obama.

They have not challenged the president to explain why the action against Libya is in the U.S. interest, nor have they asked the White House for a timeline for withdrawal. They did, however, report extensively on Obama’s NCAA picks and they covered his 61st round of golf as president.

Meanwhile, the economy is still dog-paddling and 13.5 million Americans are unemployed. There are now three wars raging, Congress can’t balance a budget, and the national debt translates into $126,000 per household, and growing.

The liberal media are full steam ahead to reelect Obama. We’re committed to exposing their skewed coverage and giving you the truth. It’s the right fight to be in. Stay tuned!

Sincerely,

L. Brent Bozell III
Founder and President

---

**OVERLOADED SPIN**

To try to spin away the fact that only 47 percent of Americans approved of President Obama’s military action in Libya at its start, the Mar. 27 New York Times claimed that people are too “inundated with news,” suffer from “compassion fatigue” over the Japan earthquake, and are more interested in NCAA basketball. As the Times’s slant ran, “Many Americans find themselves scratching their heads about America’s military intervention in Libya, and part of the reason, they say, can be summed up in one word: overload.”

With the world staggering “from one breathtaking news event to another,” the Libyan airstrikes “can feel like one crisis too many,” spun the liberal Times, which also cited people’s excitement over college basketball. At no point in its meandering excuses to explain Obama’s low approval rating did the Times mention Americans’ concerns over congressional approval, mission creep, an exit strategy, and radical Islamists among the rebels. As we go to press the approval has dropped again to 39 percent. “All the News That’s Fit to Spin.”
Left-Wing NPR Exposed in Undercover Video Sting — Liberal Media Circle the Wagons

A shocking undercover video of an NPR executive smearing conservatives as racists and admitting that the left-wing radio network could easily survive without taxpayer funds was released on Mar. 8. That led to the executive’s immediate resignation and the firing of his boss, NPR CEO Vivian Schiller the next day.

The video reaffirms what the MRC has documented for decades about NPR’s leftist agenda. MRC President Brent Bozell sent a letter to ranking members of Congress demanding that no more taxpayer funds go to NPR. But once again, predictably, the liberal media ran to network’s rescue and blamed conservatives for trying to kill Big Bird.

In trying to defend NPR, ABC’s World News was first, reporting on Mar. 9 that the loss of the two NPR executives stems from “a war over culture and spending cuts that threatens the very existence of public broadcasting, including Big Bird and Elmo.”

NBC’s Lisa Myers moaned, “With American children already falling behind, public broadcasting supporters fear Bert and Ernie could become a casualty of the political wars.”

Faux-conservative David Brooks, whose had his own gig on NPR since 2004, claimed, “I thought it was really biased 10 years ago, but now I think it’s pretty straight.”

In an undercover video sting, then-NPR fundraiser Ron Schiller was caught telling potential donors that Tea Party Americans are “seriously racist, racist people.”

NPR’s own liberal doyenne Nina Totenberg asserted that NPR’s reporting was “straight shooting” and “factual.” When asked whether the video affirmed the view of conservatives that NPR is biased, Totenberg said yes, “I know it does, but it’s not true.”

In his letter to Congress, Brent Bozell wrote: “In today’s remarkably rich and varied communications environment — and today’s dire federal fiscal straits — there is simply no reason to continue government funding for a Corporation for Public Broadcasting. ... The resignation of Vivian Schiller doesn’t change a thing about NPR. They are still a radical left-wing toy for the likes of George Soros and they still don’t deserve a dime of taxpayer funding. A government that is broke should not be in the business of funding a left-wing playground.”

As for Big Bird and the Cookie Monster, does anyone doubt that a show as popular as Sesame Street would not flourish in a free market media world? If the taxpayer funding stopped, some lucky network would have the contracts written quicker than you could say N-P-R.
‘Instructed’ Smears

New York’s very liberal Senator Chuck Schumer was caught on tape admitting that he always calls Republicans “extreme” because the Democratic caucus “instructed” him to do so. In other words, it’s sheer political posturing, and a smear at that. But is it “news”? The nightly newscasts on ABC, CBS, and NBC on Mar. 29 all skipped the story. Also, the morning networks news shows on Mar. 30 — Good Morning America, Today, and The Early Show — totally ignored the story.

The New York Times mentioned the incident on its “Caucus blog” online — but not in its print newspaper. It explained that just prior to a telephone conference call with reporters, unaware he was being recorded, Schumer chattered that Democrats must spin the media with regard to “extreme” Republicans. “I always use extreme,” Schumer disclosed, “That is what the caucus instructed me to use.”

There is no doubt that if a conservative senator was caught saying the GOP caucus ordered him to spin the media against Democrats, it would be headline news for days.

Myopic Morley

CBS’s Morley Safer, a long-time liberal commentator for the long-time liberal 60 Minutes, revealed his true colors yet again on Mar. 20 by grilling New York’s Catholic Archbishop Timothy Dolan over and over again. The controversy? Dolan — gasp! — supports Catholic teachings. From the start of the report, when Dolan remarked that some people misunderstand the church’s moral doctrines, Safer dove in, “But if you think Dolan plans to push for changes in those doctrines and beliefs, think again. Despite the jolly open demeanor, he’s about as conservative as they come.”

Then Safer hit Dolan again: “He is unwavering on what he calls the ‘settled’ questions: abortion, birth control, ordination of women, gay marriage, and celibacy. No question that you’re conciliatory, that you like to have dialog, but underneath that you’re an old-fashioned conservative. I mean, in the sense of right-wing conservative.” To hammer his point home, Safer told Dolan, “You ain’t gonna’ change.”

Poll Strippers

While the networks ignored the poll showing President Obama at his lowest approval rating to date, left-wing MSNBC contributor Karen Hunter went on the Jansing & Co. show on Mar. 30 and twittered it all away as just Americans being fickle. Asked about the low approval for Obama, Hunter quipped, “Polls are for strippers.” She then prattled on about how Americans need “to take collectively what this president has done over the last two years.”

“If people do their homework,” lectured Hunter, “they’ll find out that Barack Obama has done more than any president since FDR.” After all, we’re spreading democracy “throughout the Middle East,” she barked. “And, you know, the president took the right steps. He involved the U.N. He has a nice coalition. We’re not going ‘cowboy’ in there, you know, willy-nilly.” … Yes, if only we Americans would think more “collectively” about the greatness of Obama.
Minibits

- NBC’s Brian Williams laughably spins, “I don’t work for [the White House]. I cover them all equally, Democrats, Republicans.” - MSNBC’s Chris Matthews reveals his oddness after the Japan earthquake, “Was this sort of a good opportunity for the president to remind everybody that he grew up in the United States and Hawaii?” - On Newt Gingrich running for president, Matthews rails, “He looks like a car bomber. He’s got that crazy Mephistophelian grin of his. He looks like he loves torturing. Look at the guy!” - Former Nightline host Ted Koppel spreads liberal media manure: “I think there has to be a willingness on the part of the public to accept that journalism is trying to do an honest job of giving them an objective accounting of what’s going on in the world and an objective appraisal of what’s really important in the world.” - Ex-Washington Post reporter Carl Bernstein shares his wisdom: “We could solve a lot of economic problems if we raised the gas tax. We’re not willing to do the things that involve sacrifice and hard work. What the Republicans are calling for is the elimination of programs, for the most part, that really help people.” - As for Wisconsin’s GOP legislature, MSNBC’s Donny Deutsch rants, “This is a fascist regime! There’s something wrong here. Very, very wrong. I think the tactics, the approach, the totalitarianism of this does not feel right.” - Finally, NBC’s Matt Lauer chuckles in approval as actress Meredith Baxter confesses, “I was so un-self-examined I could have been a Republican but, you know, thank goodness I’m just gay. So that’s much better, don’t you think?”

‘Dead’ Line

The liberal media raced to accuse conservative rhetoric as being responsible for the Tucson shootings — a blatantly false charge — and they have always castigated speech by the Tea Party as incendiary and violent. They’re not going to stop, either. TIME magazine ran a headline on Mar. 13 about Wisconsin Gov. Scott Walker’s budget battle with government union workers, warning, “Wisconsin’s Governor Wins, But Is He Now Dead Man Walker?”

TIME then talked about the angry union demonstrators, some of whom had drawn dead body chalk outlines and labeled them Scott Walker. This is the same TIME whose top columnist Joe Klein whined last year that commentary by Glenn Beck and Sarah Palin fit “the definition of sedition, ‘conduct or language inciting rebellion against the authority of the state.’” Can you imagine what TIME, Klein, and all the other left-wing media scribes would say if Palin referred to President Obama as a “dead man walking?”

What Poll?

A major nationwide poll following President Obama’s order to launch U.S. Tomahawk missiles into Libya showed that the president garnered only a 42 percent approval rating from Americans, but the liberal news networks didn’t say a word. The poll by the Quinnipiac University Polling Institute showed Obama’s approval was at the lowest it has ever been. It also documented that Obama’s disapproval rating was at 48 percent.

The survey was released the morning of Mar. 30, but neither ABC, CBS, nor NBC reported on the poll. A related poll by Gallup on Mar. 21 confirmed that only 47 percent of Americans approved of the U.S. attacks against Libya — the lowest public approval rating of any U.S. military operation in the history of polling. The liberal networks mentioned the Gallup data in brief reports but the disapproval number was buried in each story. Bad news is no news.
The Failed Couric Experiment

The news leaked out Monday that Katie Couric is stepping down from her failed experiment as the anchor of the CBS Evening News. People inside the news business greeted the news as shocking. But what’s shocking is that Couric didn’t get the boot years ago. CBS’s ratings cratered when she earned $15 million annually.

Couric was once projected as the Great White Female Hope after Dan Rather’s involuntary retirement in 2005. His numbers in his last week had dropped to a last place 8.1 million nightly audience. But what did Couric deliver?

The end may have looked near at the end of March, when CBS saw its lowest-rated first quarter among both total viewers and the prized 25-to-54 demographic since at least 1992 — as far back as Nielsen’s breakdowns for the show go. Couric was averaging only 6.4 million total viewers (and less than 2 million among viewers 25 to 54). That was way behind NBC at 9.8 million and ABC at 8.65 million.

On NPR, evening anchor Michele Norris mourned that “when you reach back to the era of Rather and Jennings and Brokaw, it seemed like getting an anchor job in the past was much like a lifetime appointment, much like a Supreme Court justice.” Media reporter David Folkenflik answered that “holding one of these jobs is no longer being one of the highest priests of journalism because the notion of authoritativeness has been undermined. Even The New York Times does not command, in some ways, as absolute a voice about what is news and what isn’t any more.”

It is refreshing that Americans today reject the notion that we should bow before the network TV anchors as the most hallowed of political actors, let alone “priests of journalism.” In the post-Vietnam, post-Watergate period, the media asserted themselves as a fourth branch of government, abiding by left-wing urgings to resist being “stenographers to power.” So they struck their self-righteous blows against “risky” tax cuts and “foolish” wars and asserted their courage in refusing to wear flag pins.

Now they’re surprised that more than half the audience has rejected them. So much for the high priests of authoritativeness.

The media elite’s rhetoric about rejecting the “stenographers to power” label sounds most ridiculous when facing one of their heroes. There was perky Katie, grinning and bowing before President Obama on July 22, 2009. “You’re so confident, Mr. President, and so focused,” she blushed. “Is your confidence ever shaken? Do you ever wake up and say, ‘Damn, this is hard. Damn, I’m not going to get the things done I want to get done, and it’s just too politicized to really get accomplished the big things I want to accomplish?’”

She also raved over the Obamas when she wasn’t on the White House lawn. In September of that year, Couric joined many in expecting an Obama victory before the International Olympic Committee. “The Dream Team pushing Chicago’s bid for the 2016 Olympic summer games is nearly complete,” Couric cooed. “First Lady Michelle Obama landed today in Denmark where Olympic officials are meeting....The team captain, meanwhile, President Obama, arrives Friday ahead of the final vote.”

Couric didn’t fail at this job or lack authority because she was the first female nightly news anchor. She lacked authority because she was such a blatant feminist and liberal activist. In her first weeks at CBS, she set the tone by attacking Rush Limbaugh as “certainly heartless” in mocking Michael J. Fox’s ads for Democrats. She supportively interviewed Fox for eight minutes on his crusade for embryo-killing stem cell research — just as she had repeatedly loaned her celebrity to the movie star’s foundation fundraisers.

Journalists hailed Couric for her pounding on Sarah Palin in 2008. As Folkenflik at NPR put it, she “earned praise” for how “her steady questioning style allowed Palin to reveal herself as uncertain, at times, of her bearing on policy issues,” which earned Couric “a bevy of awards.” But others saw it differently. She never, ever treated a Democrat this way.

Just days before, she hailed Joe Biden on the campaign trail. “He’s the close-talking, free-wheeling, ice-cream eating Democratic nominee for Vice President.” His weakness for gaffes became a strength. “You say what’s on your mind and I think people appreciate that,” she told Biden. “Have you found that you have just too politicized to really get accomplished the big things I want to get accomplished?”

No one imagines that Katie Couric’s replacement of CBS means less liberalism. The leading candidate to replace her is Scott Pelley, who’s been tougher on people he’s compared to Holocaust deniers — global warming skeptics — than actual Holocaust deniers, like Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, whom he asserted was “genuinely religious, genuinely humble” and “said to be absolutely incorruptible as well.” The meltdown at CBS will continue.
The experts at the Media Research Center are interviewed almost every day on stories of national importance, often reaching millions of Americans daily. They provide analysis and commentary on radio, TV, the Internet, in magazines, books and in newspapers, always striving to help restore political balance to the major media. Some of the MRC’s latest media appearances include the following:

### Television

- **CBN:** 700 Club - Mar. 9
  - NewsWatch - Mar. 7
- **C-SPAN:** Washington Journal - Mar. 9
- **FBN:** Fox Business Live - Mar. 27, 31
  - Freedom Watch - Apr. 6
- **FNC:** Fox & Friends - Mar. 25, Apr. 8
  - Glenn Beck - Mar. 9, 24, Apr. 6
  - Hannity - Mar. 10, 17, 24, Apr. 7
  - Lou Dobbs Tonight - Mar. 25
  - O’Reilly Factor - Mar. 15
  - Special Report - Mar. 14, 24, 25, Apr. 1

### Radio

- America Tonight - Irving, TX, Mar. 16
- American Family News - Mar. 17, Apr. 7
- Blog Talk Radio - Mar. 28
- Family News In Focus - Mar. 15
- IRN Radio, Syndicated - Mar. 22
- The Lars Larson Show - Apr. 7, 8
- Lou Dobbs Show - Mar. 29
- Mark Levin Show - Apr. 11, 12
- National Public Radio - Mar. 8, 20
- NRA News - Mar. 30, Apr. 7
- Rush Limbaugh Show - Mar. 29, Apr. 11
- Sean Hannity Show - Apr. 11
- Thom Hartmann Show - Mar. 15, 22, 29
- KFMB, San Diego, CA - Mar. 22
- KPDQ, Portland, OR - Mar. 16
- KSCJ, Sioux City, IA - Mar. 17
- WBT, Charlotte, NC - Mar. 26
- WENY, Corning, NY - Mar. 17, 24, Apr. 6
- WIBA, Madison, WI - Mar. 16
- WMUZ, Detroit, MI - Mar. 21, Apr. 6
- WSBA, York, PA - Mar. 21
- WTKF, Greenville, NC - Mar. 25, Apr. 8
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### Internet

- American Free Press - Apr. 7
- American Spectator - Apr. 1
- Bastiat Institute - Mar 28
- Big Government - Apr. 3
- Breitbart TV - Apr. 6
- CBSNews.com - Mar. 10
- Daily Caller - Mar. 16
- Drudge Report - Apr. 7
- Fox News - Mar. 23, 31, Apr. 4, 6
- Forbes.com - Mar. 9
- Fox Nation - Mar. 31
- FoxNews.com - Mar. 14, 23, 31, Apr. 1
- Free Republic - Mar. 28
- Hollywood Reporter - Apr. 7
- InfoWars - Apr. 7
- Lew Rockwell Blog - Mar. 26
- LifeNews.com - Mar. 30
- Lucianne - Mar. 23, 29
- MSNBC.com - Mar. 30
- New American - Mar. 30
- Newsmax.com - Mar. 8, 9
- NewsReal Blog - Mar. 28
- Newsroom America - Apr. 1
- One News Now - Mar. 21
- Patriot Action Network - Apr. 3
- RealClearPolitics - Mar. 28
- RightSideNews - Mar. 29, 31
- Ron Paul Forum - Mar. 23
- Slate.com - Mar. 29
- Sydney Morning Herald - Apr. 7
- The Daily Bell - Mar. 29, 31
- The Economist.com - Apr. 1
- The Hill.com - Mar. 19
- World Net Daily - Mar. 27
  - PARTIAL LISTING

MRC material is regularly picked up by the popular Drudge Report — in this case, three stories from CNSNews.com and one from the BMI were carried on the same day, reaching tens of millions of readers.
Did You Know About This?

Another Way to Use Your IRA

Al and Beverly Anseman had known for years that the liberal media were a problem. The Ansemans understood that, rather than reporting straight news, the so-called ‘mainstream media’ were actively pushing a left-wing agenda. They began to look for a group to provide balance to the liberal media spin.

As donors to the Parents’ Television Council, Al and Beverly were familiar with the Media Research Center and its Founder and President Brent Bozell. In 2000, they began supporting the MRC when they learned about its success in neutralizing the liberal media agenda. As Al recently put it: “We knew the MRC was just the group we were looking for.”

In 2010, Al and Beverly became MRC Associate members when they contributed $1,000. This year, the Ansemans have committed to renewing their Associate membership, but they’re doing it through Al’s IRA. Al explains:

“The government mandates that I withdraw a certain amount from my IRA every year. This year — and, as it stands right now, this year only — they’re allowing me to transfer those funds directly to charities like the MRC, without having to pay taxes on them. It’s pretty easy to do. We just made a list of the groups we want to support with the amount we want to give them and gave it to my financial advisor. He’s taking care of the rest.”

In 2011, if you’re over 70½ you can join Al and Beverly in making a tax-free contribution directly from your IRA. You may also receive some estate-tax benefits by naming the MRC as the beneficiary of your IRA after you pass. For more information on how to give through your IRA, or on the benefits of naming the MRC as the beneficiary of your IRA, please call MRC Development Associate James Nolan at 1-800-672-1423.

Brokaw Feels for Obama, Claims No President ‘In My Adult Lifetime’ Had To Face So Many Problems

Former NBC anchor Tom Brokaw seriously claimed that in his “adult lifetime” he had not seen a president face as many problems as has Barack Obama. It’s a hyperbolic claim reveals Brokaw’s liberally selective memory and his inability to see that Obama’s troubles are of his own making.

On the Mar. 29 Today show, Brokaw opined, “Well. In my adult lifetime. Certainly FDR did have these challenges. … Here you have a president who has two wars underway, is engaged in really what is a third war. The world’s third largest economy, Japan, has been gravely wounded by a tsunami and an earthquake.”

Also, there’s the U.S. recession “that we cannot completely get out of yet” and “and political paralysis over the budget,” lectured Brokaw, adding that all this “has arrived, at the Oval Office, at the same time.”

Brokaw didn’t continue his train of thought by noting that Obama’s massive economic stimulus — $790 billion! — miserably failed to turn the economy around and did not lower unemployment. Also, Brokaw was mum about Obamacare’s $2.6 trillion cost and how that is killing the economy and jobs.

Brokaw also left out that Libya is Obama’s war. Further, Obama and his party didn’t pass a budget for 2011, which is why there is an ongoing budget battle now.

As for Brokaw’s adult lifetime, he was born in 1940 and became an adult when Eisenhower was president. JFK won in 1960 and faced Castro, the Bay of Pigs, the Cuban Missile Crisis, the start of Vietnam, and then he was killed by a crazy communist. LBJ ground himself to pieces with Vietnam — 58,000 Americans dead — and passed on the 1960s social tsunami to Nixon, who ended Vietnam but had to deal with the Cold War and the 1973 Oil Crisis.

Carter okay’d the fall of the shah, which gave us the hostage crisis in Iran, and the peanut farmer’s liberalism led to gas lines, high unemployment, and 22 percent interest rates. Reagan fixed most of that; he also won the Cold War and helped liberate all of Eastern Europe. He also took a bullet that came within an inch of killing him. Bush I had the Iraq war and Bush II had 9/11, al Qaeda, Afghanistan, anthrax, Iraq, and the subprime mortgage meltdown caused by … the Democrats and prolonged by Obama.

But it’s Obama who’s had it tough. Mr. Brokaw, call your office.