President Barack Obama and the liberals in Congress are pushing their radical, left-wing agenda on all fronts. The goal is to turn America into a European-style social democratic state — to reach a point from which this great nation can never return to its limited government, low tax, self-reliant roots.

But if you are watching the network news at night, you’re not being told that. In fact, you’re being told nothing. As our new Special Report, “Cheerleaders for the Revolution: Network Coverage of Barack Obama’s First 100 Days” shows, ABC, CBS, and NBC are deliberately glossing over this radical transformation of the U.S. economy and sweeping expansion of government power.

They are refusing to ask the tough questions that need to be asked. They are not explaining in any real way how far left the government is moving. They won’t even use the word socialism to describe — or even question — what’s going on.

They won’t even use the word liberal to describe the president or his policies.

They are, as our analysis proves, little more than tail-wagging lapdogs for Obama and the liberals running Washington. As a result, they are grossly failing in their obligation to inform the public and to serve as watchdogs for the public good. Let’s look at some of the details.

Our report, written by Research Director Rich Noyes, looks at all 928 stories broadcast by the evening news programs on ABC, CBS, and NBC concerning Obama and his administration during its first 100 days (from Jan. 20 through Apr. 29).

The analysis shows that the evening network coverage — viewed by about 25 million Americans — endorsed every initiative put forward by the Obama administration. This applied to the so-called economic stimulus package, which cost $787 billion, Obama’s $3.5 trillion federal budget, the ongoing bank, mortgage, and auto bailouts ($700 billion-plus), overtures to Iran and Cuba, proposals on health care ($600 billion) and the environment ($3,100 per household), embryonic stem cell research, and many other issues.

On the stimulus deal, for example, 58 percent of the 150 stories broadcast by the networks were positive — ABC was over the top with 64 percent positive stories. Typical of the liberal media’s sentiment was CNBC’s Steve...
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Liesman, who told the Jan. 25 NBC Nightly News, “I think the market wants this stimulus plan passed,” and CBS’s Katie Couric, who breathlessly declared, “the money can’t come soon enough” for state and local governments “struggling to pay their bills.”

On Obama’s $3.5 trillion budget for next year, the Congressional Budget Office documented that the national debt would soar to $20 trillion by 2019 — more than 82 percent of America’s entire Gross Domestic Product. But did the networks say much about that? No.

In the stories put out by ABC, CBS, and NBC, 83 percent claimed that Obama was a deficit fighter! Only 17 percent of the stories talked about his gargantuan spending and the massive debt he is handing to the next generation.

On Obama’s environmental plans the coverage was stacked with liberals and 78 percent positive. On Obama’s executive order to use taxpayer money to destroy human embryos for stem cell research, the coverage was 82 percent positive. And ironically, given Obama’s campaign rhetoric against the war on terrorism, his decision to send 17,000 more troops into Afghanistan garnered 91 percent positive coverage from ABC, CBS, and NBC. These are the same liberal networks that railed incessantly against President Bush’s war policy and continuously called for bringing the troops home.

The ever-positive spin on Obama’s agenda was broadcast again and again by the network evening news. But rarely did the networks stop to question whether Obama’s agenda was either (a) necessary or (b) destructive to America, the home of free enterprise, self-reliance and individual achievement.

How bad is it? In addition to the points mentioned, the Obama administration fired the CEO of General Motors and is forcing Chrysler to merge with a European carmaker, Fiat. The Obama White House re-set the pay and bonuses of executives at the nation’s top banks. They are instructing banks to re-set the rules for credit card holders. They are also using taxpayer money to subsidize the mortgages of millions of homeowners.

This is socialism.

Obama is also pushing for a single-payer type health care system in the United States and has allocated $600 billion in next year’s budget to get it rolling. Jumping on the global warming bandwagon — and raising taxes through regulation — the administration and liberals in Congress are pushing a massive “cap and trade” plan to supposedly control carbon emissions, a scheme that will cost every American household an estimated $3,100.

The list goes on and on.

But watch the evening network news and you won’t see that list questioned, challenged, or scrutinized in any real way. On the contrary, you will see it applauded.

ABC’s George Stephanopoulos, for example, in touting Obama’s first 100 days, described them as “shock and awe on the domestic front.” He went on to say that Obama’s “number one accomplishment has been to inspire a sense of direction in the country.” He just didn’t tell you that the direction is to the hard left.

But we’re telling you, and sounding the alarm to tens of millions of Americans through our Web site, our four divisions — News Analysis, Business & Media Institute, Culture and Media Institute, and CNSNews.com — our NewsBusters blog and Eyeblast.tv, our e-mail alerts, press releases, and Special Reports, and our radio and TV appearances.

Thanks to you, we are America’s media watchdog. What’s going on in the White House and the halls of Congress is a radical transformation of this nation. We must continue to expose it, to hold the liberal media accountable, and to fight for what is right. Your generous support, for which we are ever grateful, makes this possible.

Sincerely,

L. Brent Bozell III
Founder and President

---

Read, See or Hear more from this Special Report Now!

To learn more about this Special Report, just log onto the MRC’s Web site (www.MRC.org) and you can watch and listen to videos discussed in the report. Better yet, you can forward the videos to your friends (even the liberal ones!) and educate them about the latest liberal media bias.
MRC REPORT:
Liberal Media Attack ‘Tea Parties’ in Crude and Hostile Terms

The liberal media are not only politically biased — they are hostile and revoltingly crude towards anything that smacks of small government, lower taxes and self-reliance. This was obvious during the so-called coverage of the Tax Enough Already (TEA) protests, or Tea Parties, held across the nation on April 15.

As a new “Profiles in Bias” report from the MRC shows, the liberal media smeared, demeaned, and painted in crudely pornographic terms the nearly 1 million people who participated in the nearly 800 tea parties. While the Tea Parties were held to protest America’s overall high taxes and the runaway spending by the Obama administration and the Democrat-controlled Congress, following are some examples of how the networks and cable news shows reported the events.

ABC’s Dan Harris, without a shred of evidence to back up his claim, reported that “critics” say the Tea Parties were “largely orchestrated by people fronting for corporate interests.” He added that “polls show most Americans don’t feel overtaxed.”

NBC’s Chuck Todd went on the Today show and claimed that “some grassroots conservatives” had organized the Tea Parties, but that “the idea hasn’t really caught on.”

CNN’s Susan Roesgen was grossly biased in covering a Tea Party in Chicago. She slammed the event as “anti-government,” and “anti-CNN” — and being highly promoted by the right-wing conservative network Fox.” She railed that the party was “not really family viewing” and she also quizzed one protestor: “Why be so hard on the President of the United States?”

CNN’s Jeffrey Toobin also took a whack at the pro-low tax, limited government people exercising their First Amendment right, warning that the protests were “disturbing.” There is “a real hostility that is not just politics as usual among some of these people,” said Toobin. “I think it’s indicative of trying to tap into an anger that’s beyond rationality.”

Then came the semi-pornographic smearing of people. “Tea bagging” is urban gutter slang for placing someone’s testicles into another person’s mouth. CNN’s Anderson Cooper, in covering the protests, interrupted analyst David Gergen on several occasions saying “tea-bagging, tea-bagging.” Cooper also quipped, “It’s hard to talk when you’re tea-bagging.”

MSNBC’s Keith Olbermann ran with the enten-dre. He snidely reported: “Congratulations, Pensacola tea-baggers. You got spunk. And despite the hatred on display, few of you actually violated the penal code. But the tea-bagging has now petered-out.” Yuk, yuk.

Then there was left-wing comedian Janeane Garofalo, who had a different take. She saw the tax protests this way: “You know, there is nothing more interesting than seeing a bunch of racists become confused and angry …. This is about hating a black man in the White House. This is racism straight up.”

MSNBC’s David Shuster continued with the testicle jokes, saying that, for Apr. 15, “[I]t’s going to be tea-bagging day for the right-wing and they’re going nuts for it. Thousands of them whipped out the festivities … and while the parties are officially toothless, the tea-baggers are full-throated about their goals. They want to give President Obama a strong tongue-lashing and lick government spending. ...That’s tea-bagging in a nutshell.”

That is the intellectually bankrupt and vulgar liberal media in a nutshell. They support the same socialist goals as the Obama administration and have adopted the tactics of Larry Flynt to smear and threaten average Americans who want a smaller government, lower taxes, and a better country to pass on to their children.

To read the complete Profiles in Bias report on the Tea Parties, visit www.mrc.org.
Shuster Spin

MSNBC’s David Shuster, who worked at Fox News from 1996 to 2002, can’t seem to trash his former employer enough while praising the anointed one, President Obama, to no end. On the Apr. 30 left-wing Stephanie Miller radio show, Shuster railed: “If Fox wants to consider themselves the GOP house organ, that’s fine. When Fox starts describing themselves as journalists or a news organization, that’s where I think it’s appropriate to describe Fox as disgraceful. The stuff that comes out of Sean Hannity’s mouth has been infuriating. The stuff that Bill O’Reilly says has been illogical. You go up and down the schedule and its insanity over there.”

As for Obama’s Apr. 29 White House press conference, Shales wrote in his “Style” section review: “Barack Obama is a truly flabbergasting President. And in a good way — not the way some of his predecessors were. He’s not flabbergastingly…. His verbiage is a melting pot that’s always bubbling.”

Shales kept crooning: “When Obama answers a question, you don’t slap your forehead and moan, ‘Oh, brother!’ He is, as guest expert David Gergen noted on CNN after the news conference, not only ‘up to speed’ on the pressing issues of our time but also articulate about addressing them in a friendly, accessible way. He’s not the student who wears a button that says, ‘Smartest kid in class,’ but clearly he is, at least when surrounded by the White House press corps.”

ABC’s Charles Gibson teases a story on how an al Qaeda terrorist was allegedly “tortured” with a caterpillar, but fails to report that this so-called “torture” never actually occurred.

Target: Rush

At the White House Correspondents dinner on May 9, left-wing comedian Wanda Sykes took out the verbal butcher knives on Rush Limbaugh, and while much of the audience groaned, CBS’s Harry Smith later defended Sykes. At the dinner, standing next to President Obama, Sykes yawped: “I think maybe Rush Limbaugh was the 20th highjacker, but he was just so strung out on oxycontin he missed his flight.”

On CBS’s Early Show, host Harry Smith defends a comedian’s “joke” that Rush Limbaugh was “the 20th highjacker” on 9/11 but too “strung out on oxycontin he missed his flight.”

Shales in Love

Like MSNBC’s Shuster, the Washington Post’s Tom Shales can’t seem to praise Obama enough.

Following the president’s Apr. 29 press conference, Shales wrote in his “Style” section review: “Barack Obama is a truly flabbergasting President. And in a good way — not the way some of his predecessors were. He’s not flabbergastingly…. His verbiage is a melting pot that’s always bubbling.”

Shales kept crooning: “When Obama answers a question, you don’t slap your forehead and moan, ‘Oh, brother!’ He is, as guest expert David Gergen noted on CNN after the news conference, not only ‘up to speed’ on the pressing issues of our time but also articulate about addressing them in a friendly, accessible way. He’s not the student who wears a button that says, ‘Smartest kid in class,’ but clearly he is, at least when surrounded by the White House press corps.”

Torture Bug

ABC was horrified to learn that the Bush Justice Department approved interrogation techniques on terrorists that included placing a caterpillar in a box with an al Qaeda agent. As anchor Charles Gibson teased on Apr. 16, “Tonight, secret memos. … New documents reveal in vivid detail just how far the Bush administration went in interrogating terror suspects, using insects, confinement boxes, water-boards and more.”

Reporter Jan Crawford Greenburg went on to breathtakingly explain the “chilling” memos, citing how officials had “approved prisoners placed in a cramped confinement box with an insect. … Even some congressional officials who had the highest security clearances were surprised by some of the details today, especially that detail about the fact that [al Qaeda’s] Zubayda was tortured with an insect in a confinement box.” (Yes, she actually said, “tortured with an insect.”) NBC’s Pete Williams later correctly reported that the insect technique was never used.

Bits & Pieces

MSNBC’s David Shuster trashes his former employer Fox News as programming “insanity” and praises Obama as “informed …circumspect … articulate … [and] thoughtful.”

MSNBC’s Charles Gibson teases a story on how an al Qaeda terrorist was allegedly “tortured” with a caterpillar, but fails to report that this so-called “torture” never actually occurred.

On CBS’s Early Show, host Harry Smith defends a comedian’s “joke” that Rush Limbaugh was “the 20th highjacker” on 9/11 but too “strung out on oxycontin he missed his flight.”

Two days later, on the May 11 Early Show, Smith said that he spoke with MSNBC’s left-wing Keith Olbermann at the dinner and he said that the Rush/highjacker/drug joke was “probably in bad taste.”
But Smith, apparently an astute culture critic as well as a morning co-anchor, huffed: “You know what? Any comedian, anybody who does that job, their job is to push the envelope. ...You can’t go home to the community of comedians unless you’ve gone too far.”

Who Fears Cheney?

When the liberals at CNN start telling former Republican officials to stop criticizing the Obama administration, their agenda is clear to see. For example, on the May 11 “No Bias, No Bull” program, host Roland Martin described former Vice President Dick Cheney’s media appearances as “turning into a big problem for the family of Republicans,” and that “some Republicans wish the former V.P. would just shut up.” Then, CNN’s Jessica Yellin chirped that Cheney is “one of the least popular figures in the Republican Party, aside from Rush Limbaugh.”

Also, CNN commentator Lisa Bloom huffed, “I think a lot of Republicans probably wish Cheney was secured in an undisclosed location right about now.” But as former Mitt Romney strategist Kevin Madden told the CNN panel: “I don’t remember anybody in the media saying that Bill Clinton and Jimmy Carter should have, you know, just shut up and go away when they were making those arguments.... Dick Cheney, whether the media likes it or not, is a national security expert, and he’s arguing these policies on principle.”

Trashing Kemp

It’s amazing to watch the liberal media trash conservatives with gusto — after the conservative dies and can’t defend himself. In this case, Newsweek Editor Michael Hirsch who, in an obituary of Jack Kemp entitled “The Dangers of Amateurism,” slammed him as a “professional” football player but a self-taught economist who became an “amateur econo-cultist whose understanding never reached quite deep enough.”

Hirsch then really let loose: “Kemp became enamored of simplistic free-market ideas .... He then sold another gifted amateur, Ronald Reagan, on the idea that drastic tax cuts would so stimulate the economy that the ensuing growth would more than make up for the loss in revenues.... Kemp was such an economic purist — i.e., amateur — that he argued with Reagan himself a number of times when the president decided that perhaps he’d cut taxes enough.” What Hirsch does not mention is that Reagan’s 25 percent across-the-board tax cuts helped the economy grow over 4.5 percent and pushed unemployment below 5 percent — and increased federal tax revenues.

Minibits

- New York Times reporter Jeff Zeleny gets tough with Obama at the White House press conference: “During these first 100 days, what has surprised you the most about this office, enchanted you the most about serving in this office, humbled you the most and troubled you the most?”
- MSNBC’s Keith Olbermann slams another conservative who can’t fight back, railing, “Reagan’s dead and he was a lousy president.”
- Meanwhile, one of Olbermann’s frequent guests, left-wing comedian Janeane Garofalo rips on the tea parties: “This is about hating a black man in the White House. This is racism, straight up. That is nothing but a bunch of tea-bagging rednecks. And there is no way around that. This is about racism. It could be any issue, any port in a storm. These guys hate that a black guy is in the White House.”
- MSNBC’s Chris Matthews has another Obamagasm: “Obama is the new us! A kind of a sophisticated new leadership ... I’m saying it again, I’m getting a thrill! I’m excited. I’m thrilled!”
- While CNN’s Aline Cho harps, “There’s no denying that Michelle Obama is a rock star in America [and] the apparent love affair with the U.S. First Lady is flourishing in Europe.”
- CBS’s Elizabeth Palmer keeps the beat, declaring, “To be honest, most Europeans were going to like whoever replaced President Bush. But there’s no doubt Michelle and her husband have an extra je ne sais quoi.”
- ABC’s George Stephanopoulos gives another tough grade to Obama’s marketing of his economic stimulus, reporting: “I would say overall, though, a good performance, about an A-minus.”
- CNN’s Rick Sanchez lectures the man who allegedly killed three Pittsburgh policemen did so “because he was convinced, after no doubt watching Fox News and listening to right-wing radio, that quote, ‘Our rights were being infringed upon.’”
- MSNBC’s David Shuster rails against Rush, “The nutty rhetoric continues from Rush Limbaugh, Michael Steele and Sarah Palin.... How offensive can Rush Limbaugh be?... No longer content with wanting the President to fail, Rush is now calling out Mr. Obama as a girly man.”
- For some fresh air, CNN’s Lou Dobbs reports that Dick Cheney’s popularity was at 58% in July 2001 compared with Vice President Joe Biden’s April 2009 popularity of only 51%.
Obama Welcomes America-Bashing

Of all the bizarre fictions that the media have spread about Barack Obama, the strangest is that he is non-ideological. The supreme purveyor of this fantasy is Obama himself. During his trip to Tobago to meet with Latin American leaders, the president claimed “we can make progress when we’re willing to break free from some of the stale debates and old ideologies.” That’s a pretty funny sentence when your foreign policy reeks ofJimmy Carter, fermented since 1977.

In a room stuffed with Marxist crackpots like Venezuela’s Hugo Chavez and Nicaragua’s Daniel Ortega, Obama came not to lecture, but to charm. America’s just one country among many, and he was “inclined to listen and not just talk.” There were no “junior partners” in the Americas, just partners. He came not to defend America, but to calmly hear it trashed, and win people over with his charisma. Obama believes in his charisma far more than he believes in America.

“I didn’t come here to debate the past,” Obama declared. “I came here to deal with the future.” He explicitly claimed his own biracial skin displayed a new openness on America’s part: “As has already been noted, and I think my presence here indicates, the United States has changed over time.”

Now there’s a powerful defense of your country, President Obama.

Obama’s so egotistical he thinks America has two historical eras, Before Obama and the Glorious Now. After sitting through a 50-minute diatribe from that communist thug Daniel Ortega, who ranted that America had unleashed a century of expansionist aggression, Obama’s response wasn’t national, just personal: “I’m grateful that President Ortega did not blame me for things that happened when I was three months old.”

 Apparently, that sorry act of aggression was John F. Kennedy’s failed Bay of Pigs attempt to rid Cuba of Fidel Castro. Few corrected Obama’s mistake - that lost battle occurred a few months before the world was transformed by his birth. The president was asked later what he thought about Ortega’s speech, and he said “It was 50 minutes long. That’s what I thought.”

There’s another powerful way to defend your country, President Obama.

Obama was just as non-confrontational with that other thug Chavez, who pressed him with a copy of a book-length anti-American diatribe called “The Open Veins of Latin America: Five Centuries of Pillage of a Continent.” Its author, Eduardo Galeano, typically described America under President Bush as a terrorist war machine in a 2006 Pacifica Radio interview: “This $2,600 million spent each day to kill other people, this machine of killing peoples, devouring the world resources, eating the world resources each day. So this is a terrorist structure indeed, and we are in danger, so President Bush is right, I think. We are suffering a terrorist menace.”

But when Chavez handed him Galeano’s thirty-year-old communist diatribe, Obama could only say “I think it was, it was a nice gesture to give me a book. I’m a reader.” Being obsessed with himself, Obama also said he should have given Chavez his books. He added that Chavez’s harsh rhetoric didn’t mean they couldn’t engage in civil dialogue. There’s only one thing wrong with that sentiment: it’s not civil dialogue for Chavez to demand that Obama read about how his country is bleeding the Americas to death.

Yet one more powerful - oh, never mind.

American reporters saw this as a glorious moment. Time’s Tim Padgett said the hate-America gift was appropriate, because Obama “proved at the Trinidad summit to be the first U.S. President to get it.” Obama “gets” the America-haters. But how would he respond to the charge that Franklin Roosevelt’s “Good Neighbor Policy” or John Kennedy’s “Alliance for Progress” was just more imperialistic aggression? Is it simply inappropriate to defend American presidents, even when they’re Democrats? The “evil empire” narrative must always be listened to with respect — and without rebuttal?

Only Obama deserves respect, apparently. Padgett thought the Latin leftists should show respect by reading the president’s own masterful books in order to admire his “common-sense, post-ideological political philosophy.”

To glimpse at the warped worldview of our media elite, look no further than a news “analysis” by Steven Hurst of the Associated Press, who compared Obama favorably to…. Mikhail Gorbachev. Apparently, like Gorbachev, Obama presides over a corrupt and crumbling empire: “During his short — by Soviet standards — tenure, he scrambled incessantly to shed the ideological entanglements that were leading the communist empire toward ruin. But Obama is outpacing even Gorbachev.”

The leftist media look at Obama and see themselves. There are no “ideological entanglements.” They’re just out to make the world a better place, insisting that America needs to shrink itself into a smaller, quieter, less “judgmental” partner, and do so while the Western hemisphere goes off a left-wing cliff.
MRC in the News

The experts at the Media Research Center are interviewed almost every day on stories of national importance, often reaching millions of Americans daily. They provide analysis and commentary on radio, TV, the Internet, in magazines, books and in newspapers, always striving to help restore political balance to the major media. Some of the MRC’s latest media appearances include the following:

Television

CBN: Newswatch, Apr. 6, 13
FNC: America’s Newsroom, Apr. 16, 30
Glenn Beck, Apr. 6
Lavuto, Apr. 16
Fox & Friends, Apr. 16, 19, May 8
Fox News Watch, Apr. 4, 11
Hannity, May 6, 8
The O’Reilly Factor, Apr. 30
special Report w/ Brett Baier, Mar. 31, May 8
Strategy Room, Apr. 2, 9

Radio

Monica Crowley Show, Apr. 10
Mark Levin Show, Apr. 16
Financial Lifeline Radio, Apr. 3
Relevant Radio, Apr. 1
Thom Hartmann Show, Apr. 1
Steve Malzberg Show, Apr. 20
Bill Cunningham Show, Apr. 5
Internet Radio, Austin, TX, Apr. 6, 13
Clash Radio, Apr. 8, 14
Dateline, Apr. 13
American Family Radio, Apr. 13, 16
Coral Ridge Radio, Apr. 17
News & Views, Apr. 15
NRA News, Apr. 3
KBAR, Burley, ID, Apr. 6, 13
KERN, Bakersfield, CA, Apr. 9, 14
KEZS, Lacomia, NH, Apr. 4
KFAX, San Francisco, CA, Apr. 16
KHVL, Honolulu, HI, Apr. 15
KKTX, Corpus Christi, TX, Mar. 30, Apr. 1, 6, 8, 13, 15
KMED, Medford, OR, Apr. 3, 9, 15, 16
KNUS, Denver, CO, Apr. 19
KSLR, San Antonio, TX, Apr. 2, 3
KTRH, Houston, TX, Apr. 6, 8, 15
KWEL, Midland, TX, Apr. 16
KXXL, Brownwood, TX, Apr. 8, 10, 15, 22

Print

Associated Press, Apr. 14, 15
Canada Free Press, Apr. 8
Chattanooga Times-Free Press, Mar. 29
Human Events, Apr. 27
Investor’s Business Daily, Mar. 26, Apr. 2
National Review, Apr. 11
Newsday, Apr. 16
Pittsburgh Tribune-Review, Mar. 30, Apr. 6, 13, 20, 27
Seattle Post-Intelligencer, Apr. 4
The Christian Post, Apr. 9
The Oregonian, Apr. 27
The Politico, Apr. 2, 4, 15
U.S. News & World Report, Apr. 10
Wall Street Journal, Apr. 22
Washington Post, Mar. 25, Apr. 12, 15, 28
Washington Times, Apr. 4, 16, 17, 19, 29

Internet

American Daily Review, Apr. 6
American Spectator blog, Apr. 20
CBNNews.com, Apr. 6, 14
CitizenLink, Apr. 9
DealBreaker blog, Mar 27
Drudge Report, Apr. 30, May 1
FoxNews.com, Apr. 6, 14
HopeForAmerica.com, Mar. 27
HotAir.com, Mar. 28
LifeSiteNews.com, Mar. 31, Apr. 3
Lone Star Times blog, Apr. 1
OneNewsNow.com, Apr. 14
Politico.com, Apr. 5, 15, 20
SayAnything blog, Apr. 8
The Telegraph blog, Apr. 5
Townhall.com, Apr. 24
TPM Café.com, Apr. 15
WorldNetDaily, Mar. 30, Apr. 13, 20

~ PARTIAL LISTING

MRC President Brent Bozell is interviewed May 8 on FNC’s Hannity about liberal media coverage of President Obama’s first 100 days.

On Fox & Friends, Apr. 16, MRC Communications Director Seton Motley details the liberal media’s shoddy coverage of the nationwide low-tax “Tea Parties” of Apr. 15.

Information from the MRC’s News Analysis Division and CNSNews.com was cited on the May 8 edition of FNC’s Hannity coverage of the nationwide low-tax “Tea Parties” of Apr. 15.

NewsBusters Associate Editor Noel Sheppard was interviewed on FNC’s Glenn Beck show about media coverage of the shooting of police officers in Pittsburgh, and other topics, Apr. 6.
THINKING OF LEAVING YOUR IRA or 401 (k) TO YOUR CHILDREN?
GET READY FOR A BIG TAX BILL

Unlike gifts of cash, stock or real estate, a gift of retirement assets to your children can result in possible estate taxes to you AND income taxes to your children.

You can reduce or even eliminate this double tax burden by naming the Media Research Center as the beneficiary of your retirement account with other assets going to your family. Your children will pay no additional income tax, your estate will receive a hefty charitable deduction, and you will be making a significant gift to America's Media Watchdog to help document, expose, and neutralize the liberal media for years to come.

If you have already named us as the beneficiary of your retirement account, please let us know so that we may properly thank you.

Don't make federal and state treasuries the beneficiaries of your hard-earned retirement savings—consider a tax-saving gift to the MRC instead. For more information, call Thom Golab at (800) 672-1423 or visit us online at www.mrc.gift-planning.org

Watch the 2009 Gala & DisHonors Awards...Now
If you missed it on Fox News and C-SPAN, watch the hilarious 2009 Gala and DisHonors Awards on the MRC's own online network -- Eyeblast.TV! Watch today!
www.Eyeblast.TV