For 15 months — February 2011 to May 2012 — the liberal media tried to destroy conservative Wisconsin Gov. Scott Walker. His crime? He dared to fix the state’s budget problems by trimming the high costs and outrageous special privileges of government union employees. For that he was attacked, smeared as a “Hitler,” and forced to re-run in a recall election.

On June 5, he won the recall, the first governor in U.S. history to do so. It was a huge conservative victory. The liberal media, no surprise, were apoplectic.

This wasn’t supposed to happen. By their template, the conservatives were supposed to lose and the recall was to be an affirmation of “progressive” ideas, an Americanized “Arab Spring.”

Faced with a stunning defeat, the liberal media tried to explain the loss in three ways: 1) making wacky, inane claims about the recall; 2) blaming money donated (a.k.a. free speech) by conservatives; and 3) shifting the news coverage to another topic.

At the MRC, we documented, exposed, and neutralized those tactics. Still, the liberal media are continuing them and will do so through the November elections. Why? Think about it. What else can they do? Let’s take a look at that bias, that clear double standard, which in itself undermines free speech.

At the top of the list in the Inane Analysis Category was MSNBC’s Lawrence O’Donnell. When it was clear the conservative Walker would win the recall on June 5, O’Donnell told his audience, “Tonight, the really big winner in the Wisconsin recall election is President Obama.” Huh? Seriously? Honestly, we didn’t make this up.

O’Donnell dug his rabbit hole deeper, prattling that “Obama was the big winner” because exit polls showed 53 percent said Obama and 42 percent said Romney. “That is — if not the recall outcome — that outcome for President Obama has to be very encouraging,” thumped O’Donnell.

It apparently was so “encouraging” that Obama didn’t go near Wisconsin in the weeks leading up to the recall and he was not there on the election day. The New York Times went wacky too. After admitting on June 6 that Walker won “handily,” they claimed he was to blame for undermining the “civility” of the state’s progressive politics. Walker’s
that a total $64 million poured into the state, “with a whole lot of it from conservative out-of-state groups that supported Walker.”

The left-wing *Politico* proclaimed, “Only one takeaway from Wisconsin: Money shouts.”

These leftist media, however, ignored the millions spent by unions and “liberal out-of-state groups” to undermine Walker, and they didn’t put a dollar figure on their own 15-month-long anti-Walker coverage. As the MRC has documented (see p. 3), Ed Schultz alone hosted 237 anti-Walker guests on his show, and only one pro-Walker guest.

Also, if it was all about “conservative” money, then where was that money and influence in the 2008 presidential race? $800 million to be exact.

When all else failed trying to spin the recall story in liberals’ favor, the left-wing media just switched topics. Typical was NBC’s *Today* on June 6, the day after the recall. The show discussed the Walker case for a little over 4 minutes, and then spent 6 minutes on the engagement of Miley Cyrus (“Hannah Montana”) and more than 5 minutes on a Miss USA story.

Over at MSNBC’s *Morning Joe*, co-host Mika Brzezinski moderated a panel of 4 liberals to discuss the recall, and then let Virginia GOP Gov. Bob McDonnell comment via satellite studio 69 minutes after the program started.

Had Walker been defeated, these same reporters would have talked about it for weeks.

From the start, the liberal media were dead wrong about Wisconsin and the recall race. They were wrong precisely because of their liberal bias. They could not see (or report) the issues — union power vs. state budget cutbacks — in a fair and balanced way because they see those issues only one way: unions and big government good, free workers and smaller government, bad.

That journalistic myopia warps their coverage and cheats the American public. We make up for that in the daily work we do at the MRC: we inform, we educate, we persuade, and we hold the media accountable.

We can only do this, however, because of the financial help you provide. We are fighting for the truth, the truth now and for future generations. Please help us in this good battle by making a donation to the MRC today. Thank you.

Sincerely,

L. Brent Bozell III
Founder and President
MSNBC’s Raging Ed Schultz
Packed Shows With Anti-Governor Scott Walker Guests

237 to 1

In his obsessive and rage-filled quest to ensure Wisconsin’s Republican Gov. Scott Walker would not win the recall election in that state, MSNBC’s Ed Schultz broadcast shows for 15 months with 237 anti-Walker guests and one — yes, one! — pro-Walker guest. During that same time span — Feb. 14, 2011 to May 18, 2012 — the spastic Schultz devoted at least a portion of 128 episodes to smearing the governor.

Walker won the recall handily on June 5, with a 7 percent spread. But you can’t say The Ed Show didn’t try its darn’-est to politically whack and bury Walker.

As an MRC analysis shows, Schultz launched his pro-union, leftist media fusillade on Feb. 14, 2011, a few weeks after the first-term Walker was sworn in. Schultz railed, “There is a war brewing, folks. ... American wage earners are under a ruthless attack by a new group of cold-hearted Republican governors around the country. In the state of Wisconsin, newly elected Republican Governor Scott Walker is trying to balance the budget on the backs of school teachers, prison guards, and snowplow drivers. Walker is also on a mission to destroy basic human rights, union rights that is, for public employees.”

The next day, Schultz brought on one Walker supporter, GOP state senator Glenn Grothman, to comment. From then on, for 15 months, an army of union activists, leftist journalists, and Democratic Party propagandists were essentially embedded on The Ed Show to attack Walker.

A typical example was Schultz hosting John Nichols of the left-wing The Nation magazine, who cast Walker as a child hater. Schultz fretted that Walker had “removed a portrait of low-income children from the governor’s mansion” and replaced it “with a picture of a Bald Eagle.” (The picture had been moved to a public space for viewing by the public.)

Nichols predictably commented, “[W]hy doesn’t this governor want to have pictures of low-income kids in the governor’s mansion? Is it because his budget and his policies are doing so much damage to kids like that?”

On another broadcast, Schultz railed that Walker’s budget was “racist” because it was “picking on inner-city kids.”

On and on it went, day after day, month after month. In the end, the voters of Wisconsin went back to the polls and re-elected Walker. Ed Schultz is still fuming.

Romney Blasted for Wealth
But Liberal Media Were Silent Over John Kerry’s Near-$1 Billion Cache

The left-wing news networks are following the White House’s talking points and painting Republican presidential candidate Mitt Romney as a hyper-wealthy aristocrat unable to understand common working people. But when the very well-to-do Democrat John Kerry ran for president in 2004, the networks nary said a word.

An MRC analysis, in fact, shows that the networks ABC, NBC, and CBS have targeted Romney’s wealth 13 times more often than they did with Kerry.

Romney is estimated to be worth $250 million. Kerry is estimated at $200 million and his wife, Teresa Heinz — can you say ketchup? — is worth between $500 million and $1 billion — that’s at least $700 million combined and potentially $1.2 billion on the high end, dwarfing Romney.

Between January and April 2004, the evening news at ABC and CBS each mentioned Kerry’s millions only once. NBC didn’t mention it at all. It just wasn’t “news.” But during that same timeframe in 2012, ABC, CBS, and NBC cited Romney’s wealth 27 times. That’s a difference of 13 to 1 in coverage for Romney vs. Kerry.

On the Jan. 24 NBC Nightly News this year, anchor Brian Williams, feigning shock, described Romney’s wealth as “unimaginable,” and the product of “vast investments after a successful career in business, much of it spent buying and selling companies.”

When the $700-million Kerry ran in 2004, Williams and NBC said nothing about his wealth, not one story.

In March 2005, USA Today ran a story about a Kerry family vacation at a ski resort, noting that the Kerry’s flew there in their private jet and that the jet was named “The Flying Squirrel,” after the name of a ski trail there. ABC, CBS, and NBC — no surprise — did not cover the story.
**A Purer Race?**

**NBC Today**’s medical editor Nancy Snyderman endorsed killing babies by abortion if they are found to have a genetic disorder. On the June 8 broadcast, NBC panelists were discussing new forms of genetic testing and Snyderman enthused, “Well, you might learn that a child has a severe genetic problem. It gives parents a chance to decide whether they’re going to continue that pregnancy or not.”

When pressed by panelist Donny Deutsch about parents who may abort because they want a child with different hair color, Snyderman was nonchalant: “I get the genetic engineering issue. But the reality is we’ve already jumped out of that with amniocentesis.” As for the ethics of the issue, Snyderman chirped, “Well, I’m pro-science, so I believe that this is a great way to prevent diseases.” Pro-science? How about pro-eugenics? NBC’s Snyderman would have fit in fine in 1930s Germany.

**God Save the Queen**

In covering Queen Elizabeth II’s Diamond Jubilee on June 5, NBC’s perpetually liberal Andrea Mitchell blamed America for putting the queen through so much suffering. “Over the years, she’s put up with a lot from her former subjects,” thumped Mitchell. “The indignity of going to where the revolution started [Boston], to celebrate the bicentennial of our independence from the monarchy.”

Then there was — horrors! — “the Rose Garden podium that all but reduced Her Majesty to a talking hat” and “the Orioles game where she suffered temperatures pushing 100 degrees while feigning interest in that most American of pastimes, baseball.” Along with Mitchell, the BBC’s Katy Kay chimed in, “It’s always struck me as slightly ironic that you basically kicked us out just over a couple centuries ago in order to get rid of dynastic monarchy, but you probably have just as much affection for the Queen as the people of Great Britain do.” ... Well, liberals certainly do.

**War on Soda**

**NBC Today**’s all-left analysts cheered NY City Mayor Michael Bloomberg’s plan to ban sales of soda-pop servings greater than 16 ounces. Asked by host Matt Lauer on May 31 whether Bloomberg’s nanny state edict was wise, Donny Deutsch cheered, “God bless this guy — every time you make a revolutionary move, there’s going to be some complaints of overstepping the boundaries. I applaud him. ... We solve obesity, we solve the health care problem. We’ve got to do something.” NBC’s Nancy Snyderman agreed, of course, lecturing that “sugar is toxic and should be regulated like tobacco. It’s rewiring the brain. I think it’s a very bold, big move, but I have no problem with it.” When Lauer argued that people will just buy more of the smaller servings, Star Jones opined, “it will curb obesity in some ways because you’re too lazy to get up and carry the extra soft drink, and that’s why this is a good idea.”

God bless our national babysitters.

**Yeah, Cuba!**

In one of it’s more surreal, left-wing advocacy spots disguised as “news,” MSNBC praised Cuba’s state-run health care system for its cutting-edge policy of now offering free sex-change operations. On her May 26 show, host Melissa Harris-Perry, holding a cigar, cheered, “I do have to take at least notice when a country has a seemingly more progressive stance than ours on even one thing. ... Through her work, [Mariela Castro] has lobbied the Cuban government to cover sex reassignment surgery under the national health plan — that’s right, the national health plan — and has been doing so since 2008.” “So, while it’s not perfect,” confessed Harris-Perry, “it’s a first step on Cuba’s long road to fixing its wrongs when it comes to human rights. So, no, I’m not really Castro, but I can stop to take notice no matter how big or small when there’s a little progress.”
Anti-Christian Film Critics

Elitist and leftist film critics at some of the nation’s top papers hyper-criticized and smeared the new movie For Greater Glory because it tells the truth about anti-Catholic persecution in 1920s Mexico and the pro-Christian “Cristeros” who fought back and won. On June 1 at the L.A. Times, Robert Abele sneered that the film is “mostly single-minded, dying-for-the-cause fodder, catnip for crusaders but not so interesting to those looking for a deeper view into how politics and religion can tragically clash.”

The NYT’s Stephen Holdin minimized the depiction of 14-year-old Jose Luis Sanchez’s murder as “a kind of passion play exploited for maximum tearful outrage.” Tom Long at the Detroit Times yawned that “apparently the Mexican government went on some sort of rampage against Catholics. Priests were shot, churches shuttered, that kind of thing. ... And why do we care?”

Mexico’s then-Marxist government war against Catholics is a historical fact and occurred between 1927 and 1929. An estimated 90,000 died in the conflict, including at least 30,000 Cristeros. In addition, 25 people who defended the faith in Mexico have since been declared saints; the 14-year-old boy, Jose Luis Sanchez del Rio, who was brutally tortured and then shot in the head, has been declared blessed (the last step towards sainthood) by the Catholic Church.

Minibits

- Referencing Ann Romney’s defense of stay-at-home moms, Newsweek’s Michelle Goldberg shrieks, “I find that phrase, ‘the crown of motherhood’ really kind of creepy. It’s kind of usually really authoritarian societies that give out, like, the Cross of Motherhood. You know, Stalin did it, Hitler did it.”
- Millionaire ABC host Barbara Walters quizzes millionaire President Barack Obama: “There are some critics who say that Mr. Romney is a rich man who is out of touch. Is that accurate?”
- ABC’s Diane Sawyer delivers the hard news: “Campaign curve ball. Mitt Romney’s high school classmates accuse him of bullying a vulnerable student. How does the candidate respond tonight?”
- CBS’s Lesley Stahl explains the GOP: “You have a Republican Party that is in no way in the center, in terms of their issues. They’re clearly out of the mainstream on rolling back, on really rolling back 70 years of legislation.”
- A flummoxed MSNBC Chris Matthews wonders, “Why do Tea Party crackpots keep winning elections out in the country?”
- $15-million-a-year-contract-reporter Katie Couric complains, “One of the problems with being a trailblazer is, sometimes you get burned. In those first few months at CBS, TV critics wrote about my clothes, my hair, my make-up, even the way I held my hands.”
- NYT’s Paul Krugman, the broken record, lectures: “The right thing is, actually, to spend more.”
- A breath of the obvious from NBC Today’s Savannah Guthrie, “So many people in the media seem to uniformly support same-sex marriage,” which is seconded by MSNBC’s Mark Halperin, “I think that the media is as divided on this issue as the Obama family, which is to say not at all. And so he’s never going to get negative coverage for this.”

Way-Out-There Westin

Former ABC News President David Westin revealed how leftist he is in a May 27 CNN interview, where he defended ordering all ABC reporters not to wear U.S. flag lapel pins, even after 9/11: “We’d long had a policy at ABC News that we wouldn’t let people wear any lapel pins of any sort, the theory being that when you’re reporting the news, you should be reporting the news, not taking a position. And I said quickly, ‘We’re going to stick with our policy and stand by that.’ And I believe to this day that was the right decision.”

In post-9/11 2001, Westin, asked by journalism students whether the Pentagon was a legitimate target, lectured, “I actually don’t have an opinion on that, and it’s important I not have an opinion on that. ... Our job is to determine what is, not what ought to be.” Would he have had an opinion on D-Day or the Holocaust? Hmm.
Viva Cristo Rey!

When I first heard *For Greater Glory* (originally titled *Cristiada*, which I prefer) was being shot I was stunned — and skeptical. It could never be produced by Hollywood. In fact, it wouldn’t be a theatrical release, maybe a short documentary, certainly with a small budget. On the former I was correct: it was made in Mexico. On the latter I was wrong. It’s a full-fledged, major motion picture, with grade-A talent. And it’s wonderful.

The cast includes Andy Garcia, Eva Longoria, Peter O’Toole (in a cameo role as a murdered priest, the octogenarian is splendid), Ruben Blades and Mexican star Eduardo Verastegui. This is serious stuff.

The movie depicts the Mexican Cristero uprising against the military dictatorship of President Plutarco Calles between 1926 and 1929. Calles was an ardent anti-Catholic in a nation dominated by Catholics. At his command Catholic churches were ordered shuttered, the Mass outlawed and many priests murdered.

The most famous moment in the struggle, not depicted in the film, was the martyrdom of Padre Miguel Pro, ordered shot by firing squad by Calles in 1927, with the heart-wrenching final moments (Pro kneeling in prayer, then standing, his arms extended in the sign of the cross as bullets shatter him, Pro shot point blank when the fusillade didn’t kill him) photographed by order of the Presidente. Padre Pro was beatified by Pope John Paul II the Great in 1988.

I was shown the early trailers because of the family connection. My grandfather Will Buckley Sr. was a strong supporter of the Cristeros. A devout Catholic with business interests in Mexico and an ardent love of that country, so much so that he planned to move his family there, Buckley provided materiel aid to the impoverished peasants. Some things we know to be true. He was targeted for assassination; his oil leases were expropriated by the government; he was expelled. Others are in question: that there was actual attempt to kill him (another version has it that the assassins turned and offered him assistance should he want someone capped); that a train he hired to smuggle in arms from El Paso (maybe) became lost, wandered about at night, ultimately found its way back to El Paso and the weapons were confiscated; and that his heirs were also banished but don’t tell my cousin who has been practicing law there for decades.

You know nothing of this uprising? Not to worry, virtually no one does. That included the primary actors. Garcia tells the *Huffington Post* he knew nothing, but understands it, given that the same catastrophe befell native Cuba, where it “was not only the taking away of religious rights, they curtailed and took away all rights.” Even Verastegui, a fervent Catholic, admits he was ignorant of this struggle because of the Mexican public school system. That has changed now thanks to the soft-spoken and elegant Mexican real estate developer-turned-producer Pablo Jose Barroso.

Much is being written about the timing of the movie’s release in the wake of the Obama administration’s anti-religious mandate and on the eve of the bishops’ planned “Fortnight for Freedom” June 21 through July 4. The timing is extraordinary but fortuitous. The movie was planned before President Obama’s assault against the Catholic Church.

But just the idea of the connection brings out the worst in the secularist press. *Slant* magazine pans it as a film “that gives the screen epic a bad name.” It attacks the “solemn speechifying,” the “overstuffed cast of characters,” the “half-baked material,” and given “this religion is specifically Catholic... [the movie] ...makes the material a tough sell.” When Garcia’s character ultimately converts to Christianity, “we’re back to embracing a worldview where the implied mandate to practice Catholicism feels near as onerous as the inability to do so.”

But how historically accurate is this “implied mandate to practice Catholicism”? Here’s a hint. *Slant* dismisses “a whole host of bathetic subplots” claiming “its martyrdom fetish reaches its grotesque nadir when a young boy dies rather than make the most token anti-Catholic gesture.”

As for the alleged mushy effusiveness and the martyrdom fetish, there are some historical facts. Over 90,000 died. Dozens have since been canonized by the Church, including 25 by John Paul II alone. The young boy was Jose Luis Sanchez del Rio, who was tortured with his heels slashed before being made to walk to his execution. “He cried and moaned with pain,” stated an eyewitness and then he was shot dead.

The “most token anti-Catholic gesture” which would have saved his life was his refusal to shout “Death to Christ the King,” instead proclaiming “Viva Cristo Rey!”

Jose was 14. He was beatified by Benedict XVI in 2005.

It is still illegal to celebrate Mass outdoors in Mexico.
The experts at the Media Research Center are interviewed almost every day on stories of national importance, often reaching millions of Americans daily. They provide analysis and commentary on radio, TV, the Internet, in magazines, books and in newspapers, always striving to help restore political balance to the major media. Some of the MRC's latest media appearances include the following:

**Television**

- **CBN:** Lila Rose, May 31
- **Comedy Central:** The Daily Show, June 6, 2012
- **FBN:** Your World W/ Cavuto, May 24
- **Varney & Co.:** June 14
- **FNC:** Fox News Live, May 15
  - Hannity, Media Mash, May 18, 24, 31, June 7
  - Happening Now, May 28
  - News Watch, May 26
  - O’Reilly Factor, May 18, 22, 23, 31, June 4, 6
  - Special Report, May 22

**Radio**

- Catholic Connection (ETWN), June 12
- Dennis Miller Show, June 11
- Fran Tarkenton Show, June 11
- G. Gordon Liddy Show, June 18
- Janet Medford Show, May 25
- Lars Larson Show, May 16, 24, 30, June 4
- Mark Levin Show, May 23, June 7, June 14
- NRA News, May 22
- Phil Valentine Show, June 4
- Radio American Podcast, June 4
- Roger Hedgecock Show, June 8
- Rush Limbaugh Show, May 24
- Salem Radio Network, May 18
- Sean Hannity Show, May 22
- KBQ, San Diego, CA, May 30
- KBRT, Los Angeles, CA, May 31
- KCNM, Colorado Springs, CO, June 13
- KEZS, Cape Girardeau, MO, June 2, 9
- KFAS, San Francisco, CA, June 6
- KIJO, Boise, ID, June 6
- KION, Salinas, CA, May 24
- KIT, Yakima, WA, June 12
- KPDFQ, Portland, OR, May 23
- WCHS, Charleston, WV, June 4
- WFLA, Tampa, FL, June 6
- WIBA, Madison, WI, May 16, 17, June 7
- WIBC, Indianapolis, IN, May 25, June 7
- WILK, Wilkes-Barre, PA, June 4
- WIZM, LaCrosse, WI, June 7
- WLEA, Hornell, NY, June 1, 6
- WMUZ, Detroit, MI, May 31
- WOR, New York, NY, June 5
- WOWO, Ft. Wayne, IN, June 8
- WSAU, Wausau, WI, June 12
- WSSB, Hellam, PA, June 7
- WTIC, Hartford, CA, June 6
- WTJS, Tampa, FL, May 17

**Internet**

- Drudge Report, May 18, 21, 22, 23, 25, 29, June 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21
- American Thinker, May 12
- OneNewsNow, May 16, 24, 29, 31
- FoxNews.com, May 11, 18, 21, 22
- LifeSiteNews.com, May 7, 23
- Medialisto, May 23, June 5
- NRLC News, May 25
- Catholic Culture, May 23
- RadarOnline.com, May 23
- CNN.com, May 24, June 7
- Celebrity Dirty Laundry, May 24
- Hollywoodnews.com, May 24
- The Stir, May 25
- Daily Caller, May 25
- The Blaze, May 25, June 4
- Raw Story.com, May 25
- NewsMax, May 27
- Family Security Matters, May 25
- WashingtonPost.com, June 1
- Huffington Post, June 5
- Alaska Dispatch, June 8

**Print**

- Associated Press, June 3, 4
- Charlotte Observer, May 25
- Christian Science Monitor, June 7
- Human Events, May 17
- Huntington Herald-Dispatch, May 30
- Jewish Journal, May 15
- Kenneth Journal, May 27
- Lewiston Morning Tribune, June 5
- New York Post, May 24
- Orange County Register, May 27
- Times of India, May 25
- Victorville Daily Press, May 29
- Washington Examiner, May 14, 30
- Washington Post, May 25, 28
- Washington Times, May 16, 22
- Wilson Country News, May 23

**On Fox’s June 4 O’Reilly Factor, MRC’s Director of Media Analysis Tim Graham detailed the extensive liberal bias on the morning network news shows.**

**On Fox’s June 14 Hannity, MRC President Brent Bozell exposed — and chuckled over — MSNBC Ed Schultz’s flagrant double standard in recklessly trashing conservatives but warning that criticism of liberals is dangerous to the Republic.**

**CNSNews.com blog editor Craig Bannister went on Fox’s Varney & Co., June 14, and documented how HUD is redistributing $70 million to train HUD recipients how to better spend HUD grant money.**

**Calling it “an amazing analysis,” on May 15, Bill O’Reilly cited MRC’s report and statistics on how the network news media only mentioned John Kerry’s vast wealth twice when he was running for president, as opposed to the 27 times they referred to Mitt Romney’s wealth.**
NEVER STOP FIGHTING

Media Research Center member Barbara DeSpain recently called Planned Giving Manager James Nolan to tell him some good news, “I spoke to my lawyer and I’m including the MRC in my will!”

After James thanked her, Barbara continued, “I was a commercial fisherman in Alaska for more than 20 years — 20 good years. The last few years I worked I didn’t even touch the money my company was depositing for me. Since then, it’s just been sitting in the account. I got sick of paying taxes on it. Rather than let the government continue to decide what to do with my money, I decided to leave part of it to you.”

Now retired from fishing, Barbara spends the winters in Arizona and much of her other time traveling around the world. “It’s really frustrating,” she says. “Everywhere I go, airports and hotels are broadcasting CNN’s distorted view of America to the rest of the world. I’d hate us too, if that’s all I saw.”

Here at home, Barbara is most concerned with the liberal media’s bias by omission:

“I had dinner with some friends last week, and I mentioned the Catholic Church’s lawsuit against the Obama administration’s contraception/abortifacient mandate. Even though it’s the largest religious freedom lawsuit in U.S. history, they had no idea what I was talking about. Why? Because the liberal news channels they watched refused to cover it. It is scary.”

“I plan to increase my regular support of the MRC because I know that you are the only organization with the expertise, the capability, and the credibility to challenge the liberal media’s lies and distortions, and I know you’ll hold their feet to the fire when they refuse to report important news. ... I’m leaving you in my will because of how important it is for the MRC to continue the fight for years to come. The Left is never going to give up, so we conservatives can never let our guard down, and I know the MRC won’t. By including the MRC in my will, I’m helping to ensure the MRC has the resources to fight long after I no longer can.”

If you’re interested in joining Barbara as a Legacy Society Member by including the Media Research Center in your will like she did or if you’d like to learn about the other ways you can become a Legacy Society member, please give MRC Planned Giving Manager James Nolan a call at 1-800-672-1423, ext. 125. He’ll be happy to answer your questions. You can also visit the MRC’s planned giving website: www.MRC.org/PlannedGiving.

If you’ve already included the MRC in your will, please let us know: Legacy Society Members will receive a complimentary invitation to a special dinner at George Washington’s Mt. Vernon, on September 26th. You’ll also receive an invitation (for two) to attend our 25th Anniversary Gala featuring the DisHonors Awards, to be held September 27th at the National Building Museum in Washington, D.C. We’d like to thank you personally for your generosity and be able to tell Brent you’ll be joining us for both dinners.