Poll after poll as the election neared showed that Americans viewed the media coverage as grossly biased in favor of liberal Democrat Barack Obama. A poll by the Pew Research Center for the People and the Press in late October, for instance, showed that 70 percent of voters thought journalists wanted Obama to win the presidency versus 9 percent of voters who said reporters backed McCain.

The pro-Obama bias by the liberal media was obvious, and most voters recognized it. That understanding of liberal media bias is the result, in large measure, of the efforts of the Media Research Center. The MRC has spent 20 years documenting and neutralizing liberal media bias, and informing the public along the way.

In the 2008 presidential campaign, the MRC tabulated and exposed the bias at ABC, CBS, and NBC and their respective Web sites; the bias in the New York Times and other print media; and the liberal bias at the major news outlets on cable TV, such as CNN and MSNBC.

While the MRC documented, exposed, and neutralized that bias, it also kept tabs on what is called “bias by omission” — that is, what the liberal news media omit, what they do not report. In the case of Barack Obama, that bias by omission was overwhelming and it helped elect him president of the United States.

The liberal media helped elect Barack Obama president by not reporting on certain issues relevant to him and his campaign.

The liberal media’s failure to thoroughly report on Obama’s long-time association with William Ayers, a former domestic terrorist and founder of the radical Weather Underground, speaks volumes about their journalistic irresponsibility and negligence. Ayers was unrepentant about blowing up government buildings and he worked with Obama for seven years (1995 to 2002) at the Chicago Annenberg Challenge, doling out $49.2 million to many leftist “educational” programs in Chicago’s schools. Obama had launched his political career at a meeting at Ayers’ house with the latter’s wife, left-wing radical Bernadine Dohrn.

But the networks — ABC, CBS and NBC — offered no in-depth coverage on Obama and Ayers. ABC and NBC mentioned Ayers only once in April 2008 after the issue came up in one of the primary debates.

Tony Rezko is an influence peddler and a convicted felon from Chicago. When Obama bought a $1.65 million house in 2005 in Chicago, Rezko’s wife put down $625,000 for the adjoining lot, which the seller required to be sold with the original house — an expensive coincidence that helped Obama’s home purchase.

NBC and ABC ran one full story on Rezko’s trial in March 2008, during the pri...
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maries. But none of the network evening newscasts thought Rezko was worth mentioning during the general election.

The liberal media slammed McCain-Palin for running apparently “negative” campaign ads. But when Obama ran a Spanish-language ad that deliberately quoted Rush Limbaugh out of context on immigration, not one network news show covered the story. They let Obama’s false and slanderous allegation stand.

Concerning Obama’s cocaine use, the liberal media went nearly silent. In his autobiography, Obama confessed to “doing some blow,” but neither ABC, CBS nor NBC really pursued the story. There were four references, one in 2004 and three in 2007 on the networks — and even then the reports said past “drug” use, not specifically “cocaine.”

There was no indication whether journalists had asked Obama how long he did cocaine and when he stopped, or if he had used other hard drugs such as LSD or crack or heroin. They also apparently never raised the issue of whether Obama would pass a security background check given his cocaine use and his association with terrorists like Bill Ayers and other radicals.

On the other side, however, the liberal media went after George W. Bush in 1999, running numerous stories alleging he had tried cocaine and whether he could pass a background check. (Unlike Obama, Bush has never said he did cocaine.) NBC Nightly News anchor Brian Williams said the Bush-cocaine issue is “the question that will not go away.”

Obama is the most pro-abortion candidate in the history of the American Republic. He opposed restrictions on partial-birth abortion and he opposed the Born Alive Infant Protection Act, which required medical assistance for babies that survived an abortion. Neither ABC, CBS, nor NBC touched the issue prior to the final presidential debate, and only three stories over the course of two years mentioned Obama’s support for partial-birth abortion.

When Obama decided to forego public financing of his campaign, it was a one-day story. PBS’s left-wing Bill Moyers, who regularly rails against “Big Money” in campaigns, didn’t say a word on his program from June through the election. After the print media discovered that the Obama camp removed routine security measures from its online donation program, making it easier to make donations under false names, the networks said... nothing.

While Fox News reported on the radical Rev. Jeremiah Wright, then-pastor of the church that Obama attended faithfully for more than 20 years, in March 2007, neither ABC, CBS, nor NBC ran a story until a year later. ABC’s March 13, 2008 story, which included sound bites from Wright, was broadcast after the primary votes in 42 states. The story then took off, but all three networks ignored Wright’s radical and wacky remarks, such as that America deserved 9/11 and that AIDS was created by the government.

Then there were the gaffes — absurd gaffes that made Dan Quayle’s look tame. Obama claimed that America had 58 states, he repeatedly used the wrong names for cities he was visiting on the campaign, and he honored a Memorial Day crowd by acknowledging the “fallen heroes” who were present, somehow alive and standing in the audience. If Sarah Palin or John McCain had done that, the liberal media would have blasted them. But they let Obama get away with it.

On a related note, Obama once suggested that his parents met at the 1965 civil rights protest in Selma, Ala., and that not long thereafter “Barack Obama Junior” was born. Problem is: Obama was born in Hawaii... in 1961. The networks didn’t say a word.

In another instance of bias by omission, Obama stated that Jesus Christ’s Sermon on the Mount justified same-sex civil unions. ABC, CBS and NBC reported... nothing.

When Obama defended telling kids about condoms in April 2008 because he would not want his daughters to be “punished with a baby,” the networks, again, did not report it.

The liberal media’s bias for Obama is a fact — the polls and the data prove it. But it is the bias by omission that truly helped Obama with the election. There were other factors, particularly the economy. But if the dominant liberal media ignore the real stories, then the real truth does not get out.

That’s why the MRC does what it does: to tell you the truth that the liberal media won’t. The next four years will keep the MRC busy, and we will be holding the liberal media accountable every single day.

Ever forward,

L. Brent Bozell III
President
Liberal Media’s Barack Obama Bias is Quotable in New MRC Report

The liberal media’s bias in favor of Barack Obama is well-documented as numerous polls of voters show, and as the Media Research Center has detailed in an election special issue of Notable Quotables. Below are excerpts from the report; for the full NQ, visit www.MRC.org.

Love at First Sight
“Obama is a rock star!” — NBC’s Andrea Mitchell at Democratic convention, 7/27/04

Run, Barack, Run!
“Obama’s personal appeal is made manifest when he steps down from the podium and is swarmed by well-wishers of all ages and hues. ... Obama seemed the political equivalent of a rainbow — a sudden preternatural event inspiring awe and ecstasy. ... He transcends the racial divide so effortlessly that it seems reasonable to expect that he can bridge all the other divisions — and answer all the impossible questions — plaguing American public life.” — Time’s Joe Klein, 10/23/06

Mesmerized by “Stoic Eloquence”
“They come in droves, by the tens of thousands at times, to hear Barack Obama speak. ... Even some political commentators who’ve seen it all can’t help but gush. ... The stoic eloquence channels John F. Kennedy.” — CBS’s Tracy Smith, 2/14/07

The Ultimate Obama Fan
“I have to tell you, you know, it’s part of reporting this case, this election, the feeling most people get when they hear Barack Obama’s speech. My — I felt this thrill going up my leg. I mean, I don’t have that too often.” — MSNBC’s Chris Matthews, 2/12/07

Gushing Over “Son of Camelot”
“Today, the audacity of hope had its rendezvous with destiny. The Kennedy clan anointed Barack Obama a son of Camelot.” — ABC’s David Wright, 1/28/08

Hailing “Extraordinary” Eloquence
“A speech worthy of Abraham Lincoln. ... I think this is the kind of speech I think first graders should see, people in the last year of college should see before they go out in the world. ... [A]n American tract. ... [L]ike reading Great Gatsby and Huckleberry Finn. ... One of the great speeches in American history.” — MSNBC’s Chris Matthews on Obama speech, 3/18/08

Journalists Hooked on Obama
“A couple years ago, you would send a reporter out with Obama, and it was like they needed to go through detox when they came back — ‘Oh, he’s so impressive, he’s so charismatic,’ and we’re kind of like, ‘Down, boy.’” — Politico’s John Harris, 1/13/08

Impressing His Base
“In many ways it was less a speech than a symphony. ... [E]choes of Lincoln, of King, even of Reagan and of Kennedy. ... It was a masterpiece.” — CNN’s David Gergen on Obama convention speech, 8/28/08

“You know in the Bible they talk about Jesus serving the good wine last, I think the Democrats did the same.” — MSNBC’s Chris Matthews on Obama convention speech, 8/28/08

Mocking Obama’s Media Groupies
“I think there is a problem, though, with the media gushing over him too much. ... I mean, the [Democratic convention] coverage after, that I was watching, from MSNBC, I mean these guys were ready to have sex with him.” — HBO’s Bill Maher, 8/29/08
Makes No Sesno

CNN’s Frank Sesno, apparently unaware of the voting records of politicians, declared on the Nov. 6 edition of American Morning that Rep. Rahm Emanuel (D-Ill.), the incoming chief of staff for President-elect Obama, is politically “on the center to center-right.” Yet Emanuel’s American Conservative Union average since joining the House of Representatives in 2003 is a 13—he scored a 4 in 2006 and a zero in 2007 from the conservative group that ranks politicians’ voting records.

Over at the liberal-vote-ranking Americans for Democratic Action, however, Emanuel garnered an overall average 96 percent liberal score. In 2003, he scored 95 percent liberal; a 100 percent liberal in 2004 and 2005, a 90 percent in 2006, and a 95 percent in 2007. That makes him a lefty—not a shadow near the center or center-right.

America ‘Matured’

One day after Obama’s electoral win, the networks couldn’t contain their enthusiasm. NBC anchor Brian Williams, quoting a columnist, declared: “America matured in 2008 by choosing Barack Obama.” Over at CBS, reporter Byron Pitts cited anecdotes from people who saw vindication in Obama’s victory, including two women at “a suburban home in Iowa,” the “state that first bought into Obama’s audacious hopes and where a life-long Democrat like Deb Tekippe and a life-long Republican like Brenda Myer made a toast with champagne.”

Pitts ended his report with this nugget: “ ‘We the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect union.’ That’s what the Constitution says. Last night, all across America for so many people, that’s how it felt. A more perfect union.”

Oprah ‘Vibrates’

Liberals were in emotional heaven after the Obama presidential win, and Oprah Winfrey seemed to embody the moment in Chicago, telling ABC’s Robin Roberts on Nov. 4: “It’s my town. My town’s been vibrating all day. I mean, from the moment I left the building this morning — the doorman, everybody vibrates, just great. It’s one of the greatest experiences of, certainly my lifetime and it’s been wonderful ....”

What Bias?

Despite numerous surveys showing that Americans viewed the coverage of the presidential race as biased in favor of Obama, the Reuters news service on Nov. 6 ran a story with the headline, “Media Bias Largely Unseen in U.S. Presidential Race.” The story by Steve Gorman set out to erase the “perception that mainstream news organizations routinely gave Obama preferential treatment en route to his election as the first black U.S. president.”

Gorman claimed there was no “liberal hidden agenda on the part of the media,” and relied on “academic experts” to prove his case. But the agenda was not hidden. The Pew Research Center, no liberal bastion, detailed in late October that “by a margin of 70% — 9%, Americans say most journalists want to see Obama, not John McCain, win on Nov. 4.”

There were many other polls, and Rasmussen reported in September that “50 percent of voters think most reporters are trying to help
Obama win versus 11 percent who believe they are trying to help his Republican opponent John McCain.”

Racist GOP?
The Republican Party apparently is as exclusionary and racist as the Nationalist Party of South Africa, which enforced apartheid there for so many decades. On the Nov. 7 edition of PBS’ Inside Washington, liberal columnists Colby King, the former deputy editorial page editor of The Washington Post, lectured the GOP about its present and future. “I think we’re looking at something different here, a larger problem,” intoned King. “If you just look at the optics, look at the Republican and Democratic conventions, look at the McCain-Palin rallies and Obama rallies, look at the demographics and then look at the census projections and what do you see? The Nationalist Party of South Africa—not with the ideology, but in the make up—in the future.”

‘Right’ Rage
Conservatives are “really, really angry” and succumbing to “right-wing rage” in contrast to the “people all over the world dancing in the streets” happy over Obama’s victory, harped CNN anchor Campbell Brown on Nov. 6. During her report on Election Center, Brown even ran a graphic of Obama with the words “Right Wing Rage” in large, bold type.

To supposedly prove her point, Brown turned to CNN’s Joe Johns who quoted Rush Limbaugh on the appointment of liberal Rahm Emanuel as Obama’s chief of staff. Emanuel is a “good old-fashioned Chicago thug, just like Obama is a good old-fashioned Chicago thug,” Johns quoted Limbaugh as saying.

Johns ended his comment by saying, “So if you were thinking the country is now unified, think again. There are still deep divisions.” Note to CNN: Even the New York Times and Washington Post have reported on Emanuel’s hardball, bullying, take-no-prisoners approach to governing.

Obam-a-ton
Newsweek’s Washington Bureau Chief Howard Fineman is about as liberal as they come but he nearly outdid himself on the Nov. 5 edition of MSNBC’s Countdown. Waxing about the Obama electoral victory, Fineman crooned: “Obama’s changing everything as he moves. His victory speech last night in Grant Park … was so memorable on so many levels.”

 asked to comment on the “overarching theme” of Obama’s then-pending Cabinet picks, Fineman agreed they would include “competency, bipartisanship” and “diversity” but, he added, “if you had to pick one, it would be excellence.

“Barack Obama is a guy who appreciated excellence and focus. He’s a guy who appreciates results.”

Minibits
- MSNBC’s Chris Matthews reveals his true vocation, “I want to do everything I can to make this work, this new presidency work … Yeah, it is my job. My job is to help this country.”
- CBS’s Harry Smith confesses that after Obama’s victory, “I wept tears of joy,” and his Early Show co-host Julie Chen remarks, “You have tears in your eyes right now, Harry.”
- MSNBC’s Keith Olbermann on a verbal sugar rush barks that the Obama win is like “when Neil Armstrong stepped out, and he could just barely get out monosyllables. Politically, that’s what this is. This is man on the moon.”
- ABC’s Bill Weir gushed that Obama’s victory was “transcendent,” making New York City “a melting pot of communal joy.”
- MSNBC’s Donna Friesen chirps of the Obama win, “It’s not an overstatement to say that this is what the world wanted.”
- CBS’s Maggie Rodriguez swoons that Obama’s victory speech “was a privilege …feeling the euphoria and the emotion that was emanating from the crowd … it left people here just speechless, it was breath-taking.”
- With a straight face, NBC’s Andrea Mitchell harps that Obama “is more centrist than the Democratic majority.”
- An Obama win means a “leap towards something better and uniting our country as never before in our history,” declares Chris Matthews.
- In defending Obama’s 20-year attendance at Rev. Wright’s church, ABC’s Joy Behar lets loose: “A lot of people sat for eight years while Bush committed his little atrocities. So let’s not cast stones.”
- Time magazine’s Nancy Gibbs describes Obama: “Some princes are born in palaces. Some are born in mangers. But a few are born in the imagination, out of scraps of history and hope…”
- And CNN’s Roland Martin reveals his true self: “I think I will quote Al Franken when talking about Rush Limbaugh—he is a stupid, fat idiot.”
Media Defeats McCain?

The election of Barack Obama was certainly historic, and the great attraction of that historic moment led to more history: an Obama-smitten news media that completely avoided their responsibility to test the nominee with hard questions. It made the gooey 1992 Clinton campaign look like a fistfight by comparison.

Obama faced none of the withering scrutiny applied to even the Republican vice presidential candidate. Instead, he was treated to a nearly constant string of encomiums and tributes to his transformational candidacy, while nearly every possible pitfall of political embarrassment or inconvenience has been omitted or dismissed.

The investigative resources of the networks — who combed over Wasilla, Alaska looking for earmarks and pregnancy tests — showed a complete disinterest in traveling to Hawaii or the South Side of Chicago to cast a skeptical eye on any part of Obama’s own preferred campaign narrative.

The big question now: If the media couldn’t scrutinize the man before he was elected, why would they feel the drive to do so afterwards? They won’t. They worked for his election. They will now work for his administration. Past is prologue.

Take the last few Obama fawn-a-thons before the election as examples of things to come. Just one week after NBC’s Brian Williams put up his dukes with John McCain and Sarah Palin, demanding to know if they would keep the pledge to avoid the anti-American harangues of Obama’s long-time minister Jeremiah Wright, he had Obama on the set. The contrast was crystal clear as he asked Obama about how the poor man can’t walk down the street in Honolulu mourning the approaching death of his grandmother.

“According to the press pool traveling with you, you asked to just take a walk and be alone,” he oozed sympathetically.

“Guess it’s part of the contract you make when you run in such an extended campaign, but, the human in you, and the husband and father and grandson, must want to just bust out sometimes, or disappear, if you can’t go for a walk like that?”

CBS anchor Katie Couric, last seen ripping into Palin, also used her last pre-election interview to ask about Obama’s personal feelings, about whether he was a “nervous wreck” about the vote, and “If things go your way on Tuesday and you become this nation’s first African-American President, what will that mean to you personally?”

Couric was tough during that interview - but on the Republicans. She focused Obama on Republicans daring to press the Reverend Wright issue: “The Pennsylvania Republican Party is starting to run an ad in that state which features your former minister, Reverend Jeremiah Wright, saying quote, ‘God damn America.’ Do you think they would have run that ad without the approval of the McCain campaign?”

Even in the last days of this race, saying “God damn America” was far less reprehensible to the media than replaying it.

Both anchors thought they were being tough by asking the drape-measuring question regarding how Democrats might overreach if they hold all the levers of power in Washington, but both phrased it in a tone which said “Please reassure voters that this is not a real cause for concern.” Williams asked for “assurance” for the American people, and Couric wanted Obama to “assuage” public fears.

The burden of scrutinizing and questioning the new Democratic ruling machine in Washington is already being shrugged off by the Obama press. Once again, it’s going to fall on Fox News Channel and alternative media outlets from radio to the Internet to try and hold Obama accountable. No wonder the Democrats are making noise about crushing anti-Obama dissent on the airwaves with a revised version of the old “Fairness Doctrine.”

In the primary elections, it became clear when it was over that Obama’s win of just one-tenth of a percentage point of the 35 million votes cast that the media’s pro-Obama bias created that narrow margin of victory. The general election result wasn’t that close, and the punishing circumstances of vigorous Bush hatred and the collapse of economic confidence possibly were just too much for the GOP nominee to overcome.

But try and imagine how different this campaign would have looked if the media’s momentum manufacturers favored McCain with all the unashamed ardor and aggression that they brought in support of Obama. Deep down, the media agree with Evan Thomas that their bias can add five or ten points to a Democrat’s vote total, and they eagerly demonstrated that they were ready to shred their own credibility as allegedly objective referees to achieve that victorious result.
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- Canada Free Press, Oct. 4
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- Wall Street Journal, Oct. 31

### Internet
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**MRC in the News**

MRC President Brent Bozell discusses pro-Obama media bias on Fox & Friends, Nov. 3.
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